No.



9300289

# THE UNITED STRATES OF ANTERICAL

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

# Northrup King Co.

Tahereas, there has been presented to the

# Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different ty therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act 1542, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

WHEAT

'Coker 9134'

In Lestimony Witherrot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C.

this 29th day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-four.

Attest

Tenseth Hours

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service Clike Ess Secretary of Agriculture Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

U.S. DEPARTME	NT OF AGRICULTURE								
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VA	MARKETING SERVICE RIETY PROTECTION IN Son reverse)	N CERTIFICATI	E	Application is required in order determine it a plant variety protecticerilicate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 242 Information is held confidential un					
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)	is on reverse)	2. TEMPORARY DESIG	THAT COLL CO.	certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).					
Northrup King Co.	experimental no	).	3. VARIETY NAME  Coker 9134						
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (Include are	a codel						
The second of th		J. PHONE INCIDOS APE	a code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER					
P.O. Box 959	•		· .	PYFO NOMBER					
Minneapolis, MN 55440		612/593-73	33	9300289					
			J	F Date					
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Botani	cal)		tug-16, 1993.					
Triticum aestivum	Gramineae	. ary	l	Time /					
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)	9	DATE OF DETERMINATION		F Filing and Examination Fee:					
Soft red winter wheat		1986		\$ 2325.40					
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF	ORGANIZATION (Corporation, part	nership, association, etc.)		A					
Corporation				Certificale Fee:					
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	12. DA	TE OF INCORPORATION	<u> </u>	E : 275.00					
Delaware		1976		E april 1, 1994					
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF A	NY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION	N AND DECEME ALL DAD		o   april 1,1997					
Not cit up King Company	THE THE PERSON APPENDANCE	N AND RECEIVE ALL PAP	EHS	•					
P.O. Box 949	•		100						
Washington, IA 52353-0949			. "	•					
Attn: John Thorne		PHONE //oc/	ude area code):	612/593-6645					
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED	D (Follow INSTRUCTIONS on revers	e)	DOC BIVE COOR.						
a. X Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety	<i>,</i>								
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.	•								
c. X Exhibit C. Objective Description of Variety.									
d. X Exhibit D. Additional Description of Variety.				-					
= Of Papillality Of	nership.								
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date a Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds).	Seed Sample mailed to Plant Va	riety Protection Office							
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY E	RESOLD BY VARIETY MAKE ONLY	tes.							
Protection Act.)  YES (If "YES." answer items 16 and	17 below) X NO (K -NO	AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIE ." skip to item 18 below)	D SEED? (See s	ection 83(a) of the Plant Variety					
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?			S OF PRODUCTI	CTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?					
VES NO	· · · · · ·								
	1	DATION	REGISTERI	ERED CERTIFIED					
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF TH	IE VARIETY IN THE U.S.?								
YES (II "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act	Palent Act. Give date	J							
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, (	OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR OT	HER COUNTRIES?							
	S.A. Fall of 1992								
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basi request in accordance with such regulations as may be a	rppncaule,								
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of tuniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is ent				that the variety is distinct, at Variety Protection Act.					
Applicants) is (are) informed that false representation	······································		alties.						
	CAPACITY OR TIT			DATE					
Yohn C Thomas	Res Di	- Self-	11: 112	7-17-92					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR TITI	Self-po	lina fel W	DATE 1-1-()					
•		,							
FORM CSSD-470 (5-89) Edition of FORM LS-470, 3-86, is disolate									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

# Coker 9134 Application Exhibit A

# Origin and Breeding History

SEASON	<b>GENERATION</b>	ACTIVITY						
Spring '80		Cross made (Saluda and Coker 797)						
1980-81	$\mathbf{F_1}$	Grown in field and bulked						
1981-82	$\mathbf{F}_{2}^{-}$	Grown in field and bulked						
1982-83	$\overline{\mathbf{F}_3}$	Space planted in field and selected for rust and mildew resistance.						
1983-84	$\mathbf{F_4}$	Heads selected from bulk population						
1984-85	$\mathbf{F}_{5}$	Head row #85HR34913 selected						
1985-86	$\mathbf{F_6}$	Line tested as 86B180 (prelim trials)						
1986-87	$\mathbf{F}_7$	Tested as advanced line C87-13 in replicated trials.						
1987-88	F <sub>8</sub>	Tested as elite line and in Uniform Southern Nursery						
1988-89	F <sub>9</sub>	Seed from winter hardy head rows in- creased and bulked to form C 87-13wh						
1989-90		Tested as elite line, re-entered USN, and small increase block planted						
1990-91		Tested as elite line and in USN; large increase block planted						
1991-92		Testing continued in Company tests and in state trials; turned over to Production Department						
1992-93		Seed sold to TGN seed growers/dealers						

Breeder seed was developed by bulking seed tracing back to 25  $F_9$  head rows that had been maintained separately for 3 generations of increase for purity and uniformity comparisons. These head rows were selected for semi-prostrate growth habit.

Coker 9134 is stable and uniform except that in some environments a few plants (approximately 15/10,000) may break dormancy sooner than the others. These plants may subsequently be shorter and earlier. We have also observed occasional awned plants (1/10,000) which we attribute to admixture or outcrossing.

# **Coker 9134 Application**

#### Exhibit B

# Novelty Statement

Coker 9134 most closely resembles Coker 9766 both morphologically and for reactions to major diseases and insect pests.

Grain of Coker 9134 has a significantly (P=.0003) heavier test weight than Coker 9766. Coker 9134 is resistant to strains of leaf rust in Georgia and South Carolina which are virulent on Coker 9766. In the Southeast, Coker 9134 is approximately 3 days later than Coker 9766.

Table 1. Distinguishing Characteristics

VARIETY	TEST WT (lb/bu)	HEADING DATE (from April 1)					
Coker 9134	55.5	11					
Coker 9766	53.0	8					
No. of tests	18	8*					
LSD (.05)	1.2	2.3					
C.V. %	3.0	4.8					

<sup>\*6</sup> locations - 1991-92 2 locations - 1990-91

Georgia, South Carolina, Alabama

#### LEAF RUST

VARIETY	91-92 (%) <u>PLAINS, GA</u>	91-92 (0-9) GRIFFIN, GA	89-90 (0-5) <u>Hartsville, sc</u>
Coker 9134	0	1	0
Coker 9766	60	9	1
Coker 9907	15	4	
Coker 9835	20	2	ī
Coker 9227	0	3	5

Leaf Rust Ratings are:

Plains, GA = percent of leaf coverage Griffin, GA = 0-none; 9-severe Hartsville, SC = 0-none; 5-severe

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE COMMODITIES SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C

# OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY WHEAT (TRITICUM SPP.)

INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse. WHEAT (TRITICUM SPI	r ન
Northrup King Company	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)	9300289
c control of factor that only ward and are confi	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY
P.O. Box 959	DESIGNATION
Minneapolis, MN 55440 attn: John Thorne	Coker 9134
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this varie Place a zero in first box (e-s- 0 8 9 or 0 9 ) when number is either 99 o L. KIND:	ety in the boxes below. It less or 9 or less.
	6 = POULARD 7 = CLUB
P. TYPE,	•
2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify) 1 = SO 2 = HA	= = 11 = 11 € 11 = 11
2 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specily)	
SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:	
1 8 7 FIRST FLOWERING 1 9 2	LAST FLOWERING
MATURITY (50% Flowering):	
O O NO. OF DAY'S EARLIER THAN	RTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS 7=Florid
0 3 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	EMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS 8=Coker
PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head):	
0 4 cm. High	
<del></del>	
0 5 CM. TALLER THAN 7	ter en
0 7 CM. SHORTER THAN 8	RTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS 7=Saluda
4=1	EMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS 8-Coker
PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse): 7. ANTHER C	COLOR:
1 T YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN 1 1 - YEL	LOW 2 = PURPLE
*Purpling of peduncle may occur just prior to	maturity.
	DOM: I = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
Hairiness of last	es: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
	LINTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF
AN	U LEAF BELOW
*Except under cool temperatures stress where	purpling occurs.
Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 2   Hairines	s: I = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
LEAF:	
Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED	·
booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify): 2 Flag leaf	f: I = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
	om of flag leaf sheath:   I = ABSENT   2 = PRESENT
3 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First leaf below flat leaf) 2 4 CM.	LEAF LENGTH (First leaf below fiel leaf):

TI. HEAD:  3 Density: 1 = LAX	?= DENSE 3=Mid-dense	2 Shape: 1 = TAP	ERING Z = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE ER (Specify)
Awnedness: 1 = A	WALESS 2 = APICALLY AWALETED	3 = AWNLETED 4 = AW	NED
7 Color at maturity:	I = WHITE  2 = YELLOW  3 = PINK = BROWN  6 = BLACK  7 = OT	4 = REO HER (Specity): tan	MPRANTAL TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH
0 9 CM. LENGTH		1 1 MM. WIDTH	erika ji
12. GLUMES AT MATUR  3 Length: 1 = SHORT  3 = LONG	_	3 Width: 1 = NARE 3 = WIDE	IOW (CA. 3 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. J. 5 mm.)
Shoulder 1 = WAN' shape: 4 = SQUA		2 Веж: 1 = овти	SE 2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE
13. COLEOPTILE COLO	₹:	14. SEEDLING ANTHO	CYANIN
3 1 = WHITE 2 = F	ED 3 = PURPLE	2 1 = ABSENT	2 = PRESENT
15. JUVENILE PLANT GI	ROWTH HABIT:		AMERICAN STREET
2 1 = PROSTRATE	2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = ERI	<b>SCT</b>	
16. SEED:	and the second s		na Barana ka ka marana na mara
3 Shape: 1 = OVATE	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL to OVat	1 Cheek: I = ROUN	and the second of the second o
2 Brush 1 = SHORT	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG	Brush:   = NOT	COLLARED 2 = COLLARED
Phenol reaction (See instructions):	1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROWN 5 = BLACK	<b>WH</b>	• • •
Color: I = WHITE	2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE	5 = OTHER (Specify)	eren er
0 7 MM. LENGTH	0 3 MM. WIDTH	3 4 GM. PER 100	) SEEOS
17. SEED CREASE:			1 :
2   Vidth:   = 60% OR t	ESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'	2 Depth: 1 = 20% C	R LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
2 = 80 % OR L	ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'	- · ·	R LESS OF KERNEL "CHRIS"
	AS WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'		R LESS OF KERNEL "LEMHI"
18. DISEASE: (0 = Not Tes	ted, 1 = Succeptible, 2 = Resistant) 3=M	oderately suscepti	ble <u>4=Moderately resistan</u>
3 STEM RUST	2 LEAF RUST (Races)	STRIPE RUST	O LOOSE SMUT
POWDERY MILDEW	О типт	4 OTHER (Specify)	Septoria nodorum
19. INSECT: (0 = Not Tesh	d, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		
0 SAWFLY	O APHID (Bydv.)	O GREEN BUG	O CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
OTHER (Specify)	HESSIAN FLY		DEC PED C
<del>-</del>	RACES: (	0 2	SDA AMS GARAGE
20. INDICATE WHICH VARI	ETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S	UAMITTED:	AUG 1 6 1993 >
CHARACTER	HAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant tillering	Coker 9766	Seed size	Coker, 9766
Leaf size	Coker 9766	Seed shape	Coker 9766
Leaf color	Coker 9766	Coleoptile elongation	C Coker 9766
Leaf carriage	Coker 966	Seedling pigmentation	Coker 9766
			CONCT. 27700

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysis. (See attachment.)

# **Coker 9134 Application**

### Exhibit D

# Milling and Baking Quality

All quality evaluations have been conducted by the USDA Soft Wheat Quality Lab at Wooster, Ohio. Scores have varied from fair to good for milling and baking parameters. Scores are adjusted to a standard known to exhibit excellent quality.

# TEST IDENTITY

Table 2. Milling and Baking Qualities

Milling Parameters	1990 <u>9134</u>	USN FL302	199 <u>9134</u>	1 CE FL302	1996 <u>9134</u>	O CE FL302
Test wt (lb/bu) Break flour yield Red. pas	61.4 34.1 7	59.9 32.2 7	59.9	58.3	61.6	60.1
St.gr. flour yield Friability E.S.I.	76.5 28.6 10.0		75.0	77.2	74.8	77.2
Softness equiv. Millability Score	105.76		61.6	58.8	58.4	53.5
Baking Parameters	86.2	100.0	95.5	100.0	95.8	100.0
Flour protein % Flour ash %		9.29 0.392	9.65	8.82	10.12	10.78
Micro AWRC % Cookie dia. cm Top Grain	53.2 17.31 4	52.8 17.48	1 2 1 1	56.5 17.5 4	57.4 17.2 1	52.7 17.0 1
Score	95.17	100.0	92.2	100.0	93.2	100.0

USN - Uniform Southern Soft Wheat Nursery
CE - Northrup King's Commercial Elite Test
BULK LOT - Composite from semi-prostrate type head-row progeny.

#### Leaf Rust Resistance

Leaf rust ratings were made in 1992 by David Long; USDA-ARS Cereal Rust Lab; University of Minnesota; St. Paul, MN. The reaction of Coker 9134 to 12 isolates in shown in Table 3.

# LEAF RUST TEST:

The single gene lines Twelve isolates of leaf rust were inoculated into these lines, representing common virulence combinations that were identified from collections made throughout the U.S. The single gene linwe compared to include <u>Lr</u> 1, 2a, 2c, 3a, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 24, 26, 30, 3ka.

Reaction Produced by Isolates Rust Isolates Possible

Lr qenes	16	* +1	9,24(?)	9,11	9,11	`+	9,11	9,11	3,11+	3,11	9,11	<u>`</u> 6	9,11	10,18	10,11+	10+	9,11	11,18	9,11	24	9,24?	0	9,11	9,11
MBGB	:10						••		·m	က	• •	• • •	• • •	••	••	က	• •	ü	••	••	••	က	••	••
TLGG	;Ic	က	• •	'n	က	:10	·co	۲'n	2c-3	ന	က	ო	က	: : :		c; 1c		ო	က	••	••	1	ო	·w
TDJO	;2c	က	.••	• • •	••	m	••	•••	2c-3	က	3.0				ന	_	••	m	••	က	^	က	••	.**
TBGL	;1c	က	••		•	·×	••	+n	က	က	٠n	••	•	×	ო	×	• •	;1c	••	* N	• •	က	••	••
TDBL	2c .	က		••	; ]c	·×	••	••	11c	٠ <u>٠</u>	• • •	••	••	><	 (.)	;31¢	••	;1c	•	က	••	က	••	••
TFBL	;1c	m	٠. ٢	••	••	m	••	÷	; ]c	;2	••	••	. 1.	×	<b>×</b>	31c;	• •	;1c2	••	က	••	က	••	••
MDGL	; 1c	က်	٠		••	×	· •	••	ო	ო	••	••	••	×	က	; ic	••	;1c	••	į		က	••	••
PLMQ	;101	;1c		;1c2	;1c1	·×	••	••		~	• •	m	;1c	m	:Ic	œ	••	;1c2	••		 	m	<b>×</b>	×
PBMG	••	က	••	••	• •	×	••	• •	×	×	••	••	••	×	.;	m	••	×	••	••	••	m	••	••
BGDL	က	•	••	••	••	;1c	••	ó	• •	<u>; Ic</u>	••	••	••	;1c	••	;1c	• •	;1c	••	••	••	က	••	••
DBBL	••	•	• •	**	• •	,2	^	••	• •	×	••	••	••	.; 2	×	က	••	Ξ.	••	••	••	က္	••	••
LBB0	;1c	က	ö	ö	••	×	••	••	×	×	• ^	• ^		က	×	×	• ^	;1c	••	• •	••	m	••	••
χ	812	814	9877	9024	9105	9803	9835	9907	9543	9134	9904	9474	92/6	983	916	747	762	9227	9323	9733	833	1003	_	134
Variety	NKPro	NKPro	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	Coker	McNair	TN 101	L 8604

DATA FROM:

David Long USDA-ARS Cereal Rust Lab

University of Minnesota St. Paul, MN

gene	0 24+ 10,11 9,11 11,26
MDGB	
TLGG	3 3 3 11c2
TDJG TLGG	3;3c
TBGL	w
TDBL	3 3 X ; ;
TFBL	ოო×ო ლ
MDGL	m m m
PBMG PLMQ MDGL	m ··× ····w
PBMG	m
BGDL	m ·n>< ·n ·n ·n
DBBL	m× w
LBB0	w×
Variety	L 870537 L 881060 L 890682 L 890690 L 890714 L 900819

# VIRULENCE FORMULA Virulence/Avirulence

\* Lr 34 Adult plant gene

0,18/2a,2c,3,9,11,16,17,24,26,3C 0/1,2a,3,9,11,16,17,18,24,26,30,	),16,17/1,2a,2c,3,9,11,18,24,2c,3,18,30,3Ka/2a,9,10,11,1	.2c,3,9,10,18,30,3Ka/2a,11,16,17,24	2a,2c,3 10,24,26/9,11,16,17,18,30,	. za, zc, 3, 10, 11/9, 11, 10, 1/, 16, 20, 30, . . za, zc, 3, 10, 11/9, 16, 17, 18, 24, 26, 30,	,2a,2c,3,10,11,17,18,24/9,16,26,30,	.2a,2c,3,9,11,18/10,16,17,24,26,30,	3,11/2a,2c,9,10,16,17,18,24,26,30,
LBBQ DBBL	BGDL	PLM #DGL	TFBL	TBGL	TDJO	1166	MBGB

## EXHIBIT E

# Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership

Soft Red Winter Wheat variety Coker 9134 was developed by the Northrup King Company cereals breeding staff from germplasm sources cited in Exhibit A of this application. Northrup King Company believes that the variety is novel as defined in the Plant Variety Protection Act and, therefore, that Northrup King Company is the sole owner of the variety.